



KERALA GAZETTE

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

Vol. LVI വാല്യം 56	THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ	6th September 2011 2011 സെപ്റ്റംബർ 6 15th Bhadra 1933 1933 ഭാദ്രം 15	No. നമ്പർ
			36

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G O. (Rt.) No. 901/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 27th June 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between 1. The General Manager, Cochin Malabar Estate and Industries Limited, Malabar House, Bristo Road, Wellington Island, Kochi-3, 2. The Manager, Pullikkanam Estate, Pullikkanam P. O., Vagaman, Idukki and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the President, the Plantation Labour Congress, Thekkungal Buildings, Collectorate P.O., Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct

that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki, Peermade. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the dismissal of Shri Sunny John, PF No. 1393 and Shri Kunjukutty, PF No. 1299 by the management of Pullikkanam Estate is justifiable or not?
2. If not what are the reliefs the workers entitled to get?

(2)

G O. (Rt.) No. 1060/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 22nd July 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between 1. The Managing Director, Express Publications (Madurai) Limited, Express Gardens, 29, 2nd Main Road, Chennai-600 058, 2. The General Manager, Express Publications (Madurai) Limited, Express House, Kaloor, Kochi-682 017 and the worker of

the above referred establishment Smt. Kumari Nirmala, Mele Kuthirakadu Puthan Veedu, Manchadimoodu, Vattiyoorakavu P. O., Thiruvananthapuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the denial of employment of Smt. A. Kumari Nirmala, Sweeper with effect from 8-3-2011 by the management of Express Publications (Madurai) Limited, is justifiable or not?
2. If not what relief the worker is entitled to?

(3)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1061/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 22nd July 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Quilon Co-operative Spinning Mills Limited, Karamcode P. O., Chathanoor, Kollam and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Quilon Co-operative Spinning Mills Workers Union, CITU, Karamcode P. O., Chathanoor, Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment of S/Sri A.S. Jose (Card No. 28), T. Ajayaghosh (Card No. 365), N. Muraleedharan Pillai (Card No. 323), Rajendran Pillai (Card No. 406), N. S. Johny Anand (Card No. 243), P. Rajan (Card No. 231), Viswanatha Pillai (Card No. 101) and N. T. Sajimon (Card No. 529), of the Quilon Co-operative Spinning Mills Limited, Karamcode P. O., Chathanoor, Kollam by the management is justifiable? If not what are the relief they are entitled to?

(4)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1062/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 22nd July 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Labour India Publications Limited, Marangattupilly P. O., Kottayam-686 635 and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri Shyam Kumar, Vettathuchirayil House, Andoor, Kozhikombu, Marangattupilly P. O., Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the dismissal of Shri Shyam Kumar, Worker with effect from 31-12-2009 effected by the management of Labour India Publication Limited, Kottayam is justifiable or not?
2. If not what relief the worker is entitled to?

(5)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1063/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 22nd July 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Labour India Publications Limited, Marangattupilly P. O., Kottayam-686 635 and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri Sandeep Kumar, P., Puthenpurackal House, Andoor, Marangattupilly P. O., Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the dismissal of Shri Sandeep Kumar, P., Worker with effect from 31-12-2009 effected by the management of Labour India Publication Limited, Kottayam is justifiable or not?
2. If not what relief the worker is entitled to?

(6)

G O. (Rt.) No. 1064/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 22nd July 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Labour India Publications Limited, Marangattupilly P. O., Kottayam-686 635 and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri Sajith Kumar, P., Puthenpurackal House, Andoor, Kozhikombu, Marangattupilly P. O., Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the dismissal of Shri Sajith Kumar, P., Worker with effect from 31-12-2009 effected by the management of Labour India Publication Limited, Kottayam is justifiable or not?
2. If not what relief the worker is entitled to?

(7)

G O. (Rt.) No. 1086/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 26th July 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri A. Abdul Rasheed Babu, Citadel, Golf Links Road, Kowdiar, Thiruvananthapuram and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri N. Rajan, Vadakkathil Veedu, Karapparambu, Kannaramkode, Karippoor P. O., Nedumangadu, Thiruvananthapuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct

that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri N. Rajan, Lift Operator-cum-Room Boy, Summer Shade Complex, Nedumangadu by the management is justifiable? If not what are the reliefs he is entitled to?

(8)

G O. (Rt.) No. 1087/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 26th July 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) Managing Director, Express Publications (Madurai) Limited, Express Gardens, 29, 2nd Main Road, Chennai-600 058, (2) The General Manager, Express Publications (Madurai) Limited, The New Indian Express, Express House, Kaloor, Kochi-682 017 and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, New Indian Express Employees Association (Kerala), Narayaneeyam, Near Statue Junction, Thripunithura, Fort P. O., Ernakulam-682 301 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the transfer of Shri P. S. Ajayakumar, Workman, Thiruvananthapuram Branch by the management of Express Publications (Madurai) Limited with effect from 3-8-2010 is justifiable or not?
2. If not what relief the worker is entitled to?

(9)

G O. (Rt.) No. 1111/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 28th July 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) The Managing Director, Express Publications (Madurai) Limited, Express Gardens, 29, 2nd Main Road, Chennai-600 058, (2) The General Manager, Express Publications (Madurai) Limited, Branch Office, Express House, Kaloor, Kochi-682 017 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, New Indian Express Employees Association (Kerala), Reg. No. 07/06/09, Express House, Kaloor, Kochi in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the punishment related to the case of loss of newspaper reel imposed on Shri K. Radhakrishna Pillai, Workman by the management of Express Publications (Madurai) Limited, Branch Office, Kochi is justifiable or not?
2. If not what relief the workman is entitled to get?

(10)

G O. (Rt.) No. 1112/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 28th July 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Proprietor, Unity Orthopaedic Centre-Accident Trauma Care Unit, Karunagappally and the worker of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Kerala Private

Hospital Employees Congress, H. O., DCC Office, Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment of Smt. G. Minimol, Nurse by the management of Unity Orthopaedic Centre-Accident Trauma Care Unit, Karunagappally is justifiable? If not what are the reliefs she is entitled to?

(11)

G O. (Rt.) No. 1113/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 28th July 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Labour India Publications Limited, Marangattupilly P. O., Kottayam-686 635 and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri Shobin Mathew, Nilackapillil House, Marangattupilly P. O., Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the dismissal of Shri Shobin Mathew, Worker with effect from 31-12-2009 effected by the management of Labour India Publication Limited, Kottayam is justifiable or not?
2. If not what relief the worker is entitled to?

(12)

G O. (Rt.) No. 1114/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 28th July 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the President, Venkuringi Service Co-operative Bank Limited No. 3023, Mukkuttuthara P. O. and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri N. M. Mathew, Njallaniyil House, Edathikavu, Chathanthara P. O., Pathanamthitta in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal from service of Shri N. M. Mathew, Njallaniyil House, Edathikavu, Chathanpara P. O., Worker with effect from 9-1-2009 by the management of Venkuringi Service Co-operative Bank, Mukkuttuthara is justifiable or not? If not what relief the worker is entitled to get?

(13)

G O. (Rt.) No. 1115/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 28th July 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Labour (India) Publications Limited, Labour India Complex, Marangattupilly P. O., Kottayam-686 635 and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri Harikumar, P. A., Panachickal House, Marangattupilly P. O., Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the dismissal of Shri Harikumar, P. A., Worker with effect from 31-12-2009 effected by the management of Labour India Publication Limited, Kottayam is justifiable or not?
2. If not what relief the worker is entitled to?

(14)

G O. (Rt.) No. 1116/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 28th July 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri T. B. Jayakumar, Proprietor, Mukthi Pharma, Mudapuram, Chirayinkeezhu, Thiruvananthapuram and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri S. Rajasekharan Nair, TC T6/28-1, Malavika Bhavan, Mukhakkadu Lane, Anayara P. O., Thiruvananthapuram-695 029 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri S. Rajasekharan Nair, Machine Operator with effect from 13-9-2005 by the Proprietor, Mukthi Pharma, Mudapuram, Chirayinkil, Thiruvananthapuram is justifiable? If not what are the reliefs he is entitled to?

By order of the Governor,

RACHEL VARGHESE,

Under Secretary to Government.